# **Mechanisms of Voltage Transients During Current Clamp in** *Necturus* **Gallbladder**

Luis Reuss\* and Arthur L. Finn

Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Received 11 January 1977; revised 28 April 1977

*Summary.* Microelectrode techniques were employed to study the mechanisms of the transepithelial voltage transients  $(\Delta V_{ms})$  observed during transmural current clamps in the isolated *Necturus* gallbladder. The results indicate that: a) part of  $\Delta V_{ms}$  is due to a transepithelial resistance change  $(AR<sub>t</sub>)$ , and part to a tissue emf change. b)  $AR<sub>t</sub>$  is entirely caused by changes of the resistance of the paracellular pathway. At all current densities employed, the measured changes are probably due to changes in both fluid conductivity and width of the lateral intercellular spaces. At high currents, in addition to the effects on the lateral spaces, the resistance of other elements of the pathway (probably the limiting junction) drops, regardless of the direction of the current, c) The magnitude and polarity of the  $AR<sub>r</sub>$ -independent transepithelial and cell membrane potential transients indicate that the largest emf change takes place at the basolateral membrane  $(AE<sub>b</sub>)$ , with smaller changes at the luminal membrane  $(AE_a)$  and the paracellular (shunt) pathway  $(AE_a)$ . It is shown that two-thirds of the transient are caused by  $\Delta E_s$ , and one-third by  $\Delta (E_b - E_a)$ .  $AE_{s}$  can be explained by a diffusion potential generated by a current-dependent NaCl concentration gradient across the tissue.  $AE_a$  and  $AE_b$  are caused by [K] changes, mainly at the unstirred layer in contact with the basolateral membrane.

In many salt-transporting epithelial preparations studied *in vitro*  transmural dc pulses produce time-dependent voltage transients and nonlinear current-voltage relationships (Wedner & Diamond, 1969; Candia, 1970; Civan, 1970; Kidder & Rehm, 1970; Noyes & Rehm, 1971; Yonath & Civan, 1971; Helman & Miller, 1973; Spring, 1973a, b; Bindslev, Tormey, Pietras & Wright, 1974a; Bindslev, Tormey & Wright, 1974b).

Bindslev *et al.* (1974b) have observed that when a transepithelial constant current pulse is applied across the *in vitro* frog gallbladder, transmural voltage transients take place with three different half-times:

*<sup>\*</sup> Present address:* Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63110.

of less than 1 msec (related to the electrical capacitance of the tissue), of the order of seconds (related to ion concentration changes in unstirred layers) and of the order of minutes (related to transepithelial electrical conductance changes). Neglecting the capacitive transient, when the gallbladder is exposed to standard amphibian Ringer's solution on both sides, inward (mucosa to serosa, m to s) current tends to generate a voltage transient of mucosa-negative polarity (i.e., during the pulse, the current-induced voltage *drops* with time) and a decrease of transepithelial electrical resistance  $(R<sub>t</sub>)$ . Outward (serosa to mucosa, s to *m*) current also produces a voltage transient of mucosa-negative polarity (i.e., during the pulse the current-induced voltage *increases* with time) but an increase of  $R_t$ . When the current density is large enough, during outward pulses  $R_t$ briefly drops and then increases. The voltage transients are conceivably caused by both the changes of tissue equivalent emf ("polarization") and total conductance. Since it has been demonstrated that the intercellular pathway accounts for more than  $90\%$  of the tissue conductance in gallbladder, Bindslev *et al.* (1974a) have attributed both the polarization phenomena and the resistance changes observed during current passage to changes at the paracellular (shunt) pathway.

The experiments reported here were designed to study the relative contributions of the shunt pathway and the membranes of the epithelial cells to these time dependent changes in emf and conductance in *Necturus* gallbladder. Methods previously described (Reuss & Finn, 1975a, b) allow us to calculate the electrical resistances and equivalent emf's of the cell membranes and the shunt pathway. Utilizing these techniques, it is possible to measure the contribution of each element of the circuit (cell membranes and shunt) to transepithelial polarization and conductance changes. Furthermore, the results from experiments performed with standard Ringer's solution bathing both sides of the tissue can be contrasted with those obtained after selective alterations of one or more elements of the equivalent circuit.

Part of this work has been published in abstract form (Reuss & Finn, 1976).

# **Materials and Methods**

Necturi *(Necturus maculosus)* obtained from Mogul-Ed Co., Oshkosh, Wisconsin, were anesthetized, and the gallbladder was removed and mounted as previously described. Control determinations were started at least 30 min after mounting the tissue, if the preparation satisfied the criteria (transepithelial resistance, ion selectivity) previously described (Renss & Finn, i975a, 1977).

#### *Bathing Solutions*

Standard Ringer's solution had the following composition (mm): NaCl 109.2, KCl 2.5, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 2.38, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 0.89, pH 7.8, gassed with room air. In particular experiments, the composition of one or both bathing solutions was changed as follows: (a) complete or partial isomolar replacement of Na with K or choline; (b) addition of sucrose to standard Ringer's, approximately to double the osmolality; (c) addition of LaCl<sub>3</sub> (1–2mM) to Ringer's solution titrated with concentrated HC1 to pH 6.8-7.0.

#### *Electrical Measurements*

*Transepithelial.* The transepithelial potential  $(V_{ms})$  was measured as the PD between two Ag--AgC1 electrodes (connected to the bathing solutions by Ringer's-agar bridges) with a 602 A electrometer (Keithley Instruments, Cleveland, Ohio), or with an M4A electrometer (WP Instruments, Hamden, Connecticut), and displayed on a storage oscilloscope (Tektronix Inc., Beaverton, Oregon). The serosal electrode was usually the reference.

The transepithelial resistance  $(R<sub>i</sub>)$  was calculated from the transepithelial voltage change  $(\Delta V_m)$  produced by a calibrated transmural dc pulse, provided by a constant current source and applied through Ag--AgCl electrodes connected to the bathing media with Ringer's agar bridges.  $\Delta V_{ms}$  was read from the pseudo steady-state value within 20 msec of the start of the current pulse. In some experiments,  $R$ , changes were followed second to second by superimposing short dc pulses on the transepithelial current clamp (e.g., Fig. 3).

*Intracellular.* Microelectrodes (ME's) were prepared by pulling (two stage puller, Industrial Science Associates, Ridgewood, New Jersey) 1 mm OD, 0.6 mm ID borosilicate glass (Drummond Scientific Co., Broomall, Pennsylvania) previously threaded with fiberglass. Immediately after pulling, the micropipettes were filled with 4M potassium acetate (with a thin needle), and beveled (Brown  $\&$  Flaming, 1974) to a tip impedance of 12 to 25 M $\Omega$ . The impedance before beveling was usually 20 to 40 M $\Omega$ . Experiments in which 3 M KC1 ME's were employed in the same preparations did not yield significant differences in the cell potentials.

Cellular impalements were performed always from the mucosal solution by means of mechanic micromanipulators, under visual control with a phase contrast inverted microscope (Diavert, Leitz Wetzlar, West Germany) at  $200$  or  $320 \times$ . The criteria for appropriate impalement have been previously described (Reuss & Finn, 1975a). Stable cell potentials were obtained in at least  $90\%$  of the attempts.

Intracellular current pulses of 1 to  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  A, and up to 1 sec duration were applied from a Grass SD9 or S-48 stimulator (Grass Instruments, Quincy, Massachusetts), through the M4A electrometer. The voltage changes elicited in other cells were recorded with a second ME, as a function of the interelectrode distance.

*Circuit Analysis.* The equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 1A was analyzed by the measurements of: (a) the potentials across the luminal membrane  $(V_{m,c})$ , the basolateral membrane  $(V_{cs})$ , and the whole tissue  $(V_{ms})$ , and (b) the resistances of the cell membranes (apical:  $R_a$ , basolateral:  $R_b$ ) and the shunt pathway  $(R_s)$ . The three resistances were calculated from:  $R_t$ ,  $R_d/R_b$ , and cable analysis of the epithelial sheet (Eisenberg & Johnson, 1970; Frömter, 1972; Reuss & Finn, 1975a). From the values of the potentials and resistances, equivalent emf's were calculated as described before (Reuss & Finn, 1975a, b).



Fig. 1. Equivalent electrical circuits for *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium. M, C and S represent the mucosal solution, the cell, and the serosal solution, respectively. (A) Each element of the circuit is represented, according to Thevenin's theorem, by an equivalent emf (E's) in series with an equivalent resistance (R's). The subscripts a, b and s refer to the apical membrane, basolateral membrane, and shunt pathway, respectively. The polarities of  $E_a$  and  $E_b$  are based on previous data (Reuss & Finn, 1975a). Mean values in the experiments reported here (if  $E_s$  is assumed to be 0) are:  $R_a=4010$ ,  $R_b=3040$ ,  $R_s$ =370  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>; E<sub>a</sub>=34, E<sub>b</sub>=73 mV.  $V_{mc}$  is 55 mV,  $V_{cs}$  57mV and  $V_{ms}$  2 mV. (B) The whole epithelium is represented by a single Thevenin equivalent.  $R_t^{-1} = (R_a + R_b)^{-1} + R_s^{-1}$ , and  $E_t$  is a function of all emf's and resistances in the circuit.  $I_c$  is an externally applied transepithelial current *(See text)* 

### *Estimation of the Contribution of Tissue Conductance Changes to Current-Induced Voltage Transients*

To distinguish between the contribution of  $R_t$  changes and tissue emf changes to the transepithelial voltage transients during the clamps, the simplified equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 1B was analyzed.  $V_{ms}$  changes during a *constant* transepithelial current can result from changes of  $R_t$  (the total transepithelial resistance),  $E_t$  (the equivalent emf of the tissue), or both (see Fig. 1B).  $R_t$  was measured by superimposing short dc pulses on the longer lasting clamp *(see above)*. Therefore,  $R<sub>t</sub>$  changes could be followed during the clamp, their contribution to  $\Delta V_{ms}$  being

$$
\Delta V_{ms}^{R_t} = \Delta R_t \cdot I_c \tag{1}
$$

where  $\Delta R_t$  is the transepithelial resistance change during the clamp, and  $I_c$  is the clamp current density. The duration of the superimposed pulses was short enough to avoid  $R_t$ changes during the pulses themselves.

The difference between the observed  $\Delta V_{ms}$  during the clamp ( $\Delta V_{ms}^{obs}$ ) and  $\Delta V_{ms}^{R_t}$  is equal to the  $E_t$ -dependent change of  $V_{ms}$  ( $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$ ):



Fig. 2. Computation of magnitudes and polarities of cell membrane potential changes for a 5 mV change of  $V_{ms}$  (hyperpolarization), if  $\Delta V_{ms}$  is produced by the alteration of *only one* of the emf's of the tissue.  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$  were calculated from the mean control resistances  $(R_a, R_b \text{ and } R_s)$  solving the equation  $\Delta V_{ms} = [\Delta E_s(R_a + R_b) + \Delta (E_b - E_a) R_s]/(R_a + R_b + R_s)$ , and inserting each computed  $\Delta E$  into the analogous equations for  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$ . Note that each emf change (lower line) produces a unique combination of polarities of  $\Delta V$ 's. In addition,  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$  are far greater than  $\Delta V_{ms}$  if  $E_a$  or  $E_b$  change. If  $E_s$  changes,  $\Delta V_{ms}$  is larger than  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$ 

From these measurements it is possible to establish approximately what portion of  $\Delta V_{ms}^{\rm obs}$  is attributable to tissue conductance or tissue emf changes. This approach is an oversimplification, since resistance changes *per se* involve changes in  $V_{ms}$ . During the current clamp

$$
V_{ms} = [(E_b - E_a)R_s + E_s(R_a + R_b) + I_c(R_a + R_b)R_s]/(R_a + R_b + R_s)
$$
\n(3)

and thus if  $R_s$  is altered  $V_{ms}$  changes, independently of  $I_c$  and at constant E's. It can be shown, however, that the error involved in the use of Eqs. (1) and (2) is less than  $10\%$ .

#### *Identification of the Site(s) of Tissue emf Change*

Once the  $\Delta E_t$ -dependent  $\Delta V_{ms}$  value has been calculated, the site(s) of  $E_t$  change can be identified from the consideration of the circuit depicted in Fig. 1A. Because of the widely different resistance values, and because of the orientation of the emf's, changes in *a single emf* yield unique combinations of polarity and magnitude of the changes of  $V_{mc}$  $V_{cs}$  and  $V_{ms}$  (see Fig. 2). Therefore, the magnitude and polarity of  $V_{ms}$ ,  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$ transients were determined during current clamps in either direction.

#### *Modifications of the Composition of the Bathing Solutions*

In some experiments, transepithelial and intracellular voltage transients were compared before and after modifying the composition of one or both bathing media. High serosal K was employed to test the hypothesis that the intracellular transients are caused by K concentration changes in the basolateral unstirred layer. Low Na mucosal solution (choline replacement) and hyperosmotic mucosal solution (sucrose addition) were used to increase  $R_s$ , while producing opposite effects on  $R_a$  (increase and decrease, respectively; Reuss & Finn, 1975a, 1977). From these experiments, the relative contributions of shunt and cell membranes to the  $R<sub>r</sub>$ -independent voltage transient were calculated.



Fig. 3. Typical records of  $V_{ms}$  and  $R_t$  during transepithelial current clamps. Panels A and B, clamp current  $(I_{\text{clamp}})$  = 50  $\mu$ A/0.6 cm<sup>2</sup>; panels C and D,  $I_{\text{clamp}}$  = 100  $\mu$ A/0.6 cm<sup>2</sup>. A and C are  $m$  to  $s$  (inward) clamps, and  $B$  and  $D$  are  $s$  to  $m$  (outward) clamps. All traces start with  $V_{ms} = 2.1 \text{ mV}$  (mucosal solution negative), and  $R_t = 270 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$ . The short, superimposed pulses were employed to measure  $R_t$  about every second before, during, and after the clamp *(See text)* 

### **Results**

Transepithelial potentials and resistances did not differ significantly from those reported by Frömter (1972), Reuss and Finn (1975 $a$ ,  $b$ , 1977), and van Os and Slegers (1975).  $V_{ms}$  ranged from 1.2 to 4.3 mV, mucosal solution negative, and  $R_t$  from 220 to 430  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>.

### *Transepithelial Voltage Transients During Current Clamps*

*Inward (mucosa-positive, or m to s)* current clamps were applied in the range of 40 to 320 $\mu$ A cm<sup>-2</sup>, for periods of up to 1 min. Typical records at two current densities are shown in Fig. 3. At currents smaller than ca. 100  $\mu$ A cm<sup>-2</sup>, the change of  $V_{ms}$  during the clamp is small, and its polarity can be either in the same direction as the initial deflection produced by the clamp (hyperpolarizing transient) or, as shown in the

| Current                    | $\Delta R_i(\Omega \, \text{cm}^2)$ |                        |  |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| $(\mu A/0.6 \text{ cm}^2)$ | <i>m</i> to <i>s</i> clamps         | s to <i>m</i> clamps   |  |
| 25                         | $-6+3$                              | $+14+3$                |  |
| 50<br>100                  | $-16 \pm 4$<br>$-34+7$              | $+29+5$<br>$+51 \pm 9$ |  |
| 200                        | $-49+8$                             | $+57+23$               |  |

Table 1.  $R_t$  changes as a function of transepithelial current density and direction

Resistances were measured immediately before, and 30 sec after the onset of the current clamp.  $n = 7$  experiments. Means  $\pm$  SEM.

Figure, in the opposite direction (depolarizing transient). At higher current densities,  $\Delta V_{ms}$  always drops (depolarizing transient) during the clamp, roughly in proportion to the applied current. A decrease of  $R_t$ during the clamp was always observed at currents greater than  $80 \mu A$  $cm^{-2}$  (Fig. 3). This change persisted immediately after turning the clamp off and reversed in a period ranging from about 20 sec to about 2 min. Very large currents required longer recovery periods or produced irreversible  $R_t$  drops. Within the reversible range,  $AR_t$  was roughly linear with the current density.

*Vms,* immediately after turning the clamp off, was several mV more positive with respect to the serosal solution. This change cannot be attributed to the  $R_t$  drop, because at most  $V_{ms}$  would become 0, and not reverse, as observed in this and other experiments. Therefore, a polarization phenomenon  $(E_t$  change) has taken place during the clamp.  $\Delta E_t$  is such as to tend to make the mucosal solution positive during m to s current flow.

*Outward (mucosa-negative, or s to m)* current clamps produce hyperpolarizing transients, i.e.,  $\Delta V_{ms}$  during the clamp has the same polarity as the initial current-induced voltage deflection. In the two records shown in Fig. 3 it can be seen that  $R_t$  increases during the clamp, both from the height of the short pulses and from the comparison of the "instantaneous" deflections at the start and end of the period of current passage.  $R<sub>t</sub>$  returns slowly towards its control value after the current is off. As shown in Table 1, the change of  $R_t$  is roughly proportional (within the range of  $+200$  to  $-200 \mu A/0.6$  cm<sup>2</sup>) to the applied current. The value of  $V_{ms}$  immediately after the end of the clamp is several mV more negative than in the pre-clamp period, indicating a mucosa-negative  $E_t$  change.



Fig. 4. Records of basolateral membrane potential changes (brief deflections) elicited by intracellular current passage before, during, and after transepithelial m to s (left) or s to m (right) current clamps. The baseline value of  $V_{cs}$  was 62mV.  $I_{\text{clamp}} = 60 \mu A/0.6 \text{ cm}^2$ . Intracellular current:  $10^{-8}$  A. Interelectrode distance ca.  $25 \,\mu m$ . Note that the cell potential changes elicited by intracellular current application are unchanged throughout the records

The difference between pre- and post-clamp  $V_{ms}$  values was always larger in s to  $m$  than in  $m$  to  $s$  clamps (in the same preparation, at the same current densities). As observed previously by Bindslev *et al.* (1974b), large s to m currents produce a short-lived decrease of transepithelial resistance, followed by a slow increase. If the current density is increased further, the increase of  $R_t$  is obliterated, and the drop becomes irreversible.

In order to get reproducible results in the experiments described above, a reasonable delay between clamps had to be allowed, because the  $R_t$  changes (and perhaps the  $E_t$  changes as well) persist sometimes for rather long periods after the clamp is off.

### *Mechanism of the Change of Transepitheliat Resistance*

The transmural resistance of the tissue lies in the epithelium itself (subepithelial contribution  $\leq$  5%), and according to Fig. 1A corresponds to

$$
R_t = [(R_a + R_b) R_s]/(R_a + R_b + R_s). \tag{4}
$$

 $R_a$  is about 4,  $R_b$  3, and  $R_s$  0.4 k $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>; therefore  $R_s$  dominates the transepithelial resistance. Thus, the changes of  $R_t$  during the clamps have to depend almost exclusively on changes of  $R_s$ . To confirm this hypothesis, experiments were carried out to estimate cell membrane resistance changes during current clamps. Intracellular current was applied

through a microelectrode, and the membrane potential change recorded in another cell with a second microelectrode, before, during, and after transepithelial  $m$  to  $s$  and  $s$  to  $m$  clamps. A typical example of such an experiment is shown in Fig. 4. The voltage deflections produced by intracellular current remained unchanged during and after the clamp. Repeated observations in several preparations yielded the same results, regardless of the polarity of the intracellularly applied current, the distance between the current-passing and voltage-sensing electrode, or the magnitude of the transepithelial current clamp. In addition, the ratio of resistances of the cell membranes  $(R_a/R_b)$  remains essentially unchanged during transmural current passage.

# *Mechanism of the Change of Transepithelial Equivalent emf (Polarization)*

To find out which emf or which combination of emf's of the circuit change during the current clamps, the potentials across the apical and basolateral membrane were recorded continuously before, during and after transepithelial clamps of current similar to those employed for transepithelial studies. Our reasoning, as explained in Materials and Methods, was that if only one of the three emf's  $(E_a, E_b \text{ or } E_s)$  changes, the set of effects on  $V_{mc}$ ,  $V_{cs}$  and  $V_{ms}$ , in terms of direction and polarity, will be specific *(see* Fig. 2). Conversely, the pattern of changes of transepithelial and cell membrane potentials should indicate which one is the quantitatively predominant change if two or more emf's vary.

The result of this experiment is illustrated in Fig. 5. The four panels show effects of m to s or s to m clamps on  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  transients produced by superimposed inward or outward pulses. These and several other observations consistently revealed the following features: (1)  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$ transients are more prominent during outward (lower panels) than during inward (upper panels) transepithelial current clamps, and the effects of the pulses on the cell potentials follow the same pattern. (2) An inward (m to s) current clamp abolishes the hyperpolarizing transients produced by outward (s to  $m$ ) short pulses (panel  $B$ ). (3) Outward current clamps enhance the magnitude of  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  transients produced by outward short pulses (panel D), and induce depolarizing  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$ transients when the short pulses are applied in the inward direction (panel C). (4) Appreciable  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  transients produced by the clamp or the short, superimposed pulses are always in the same direction (e.g.,



Fig. 5. Cell membrane potential transients  $(\Delta V_{mc}, \Delta V_{cs})$  during transepithelial constant current clamps with superimposed short d.c. pulses. All records were obtained in the same cell of a preparation bathed bilaterally with standard Ringer's solution. Control parameters:  $V_{ms} = 2.5 \text{ mV}, V_{mc} = 56 \text{ mV}, V_{cs} = 58.5 \text{ mV}, R_t = 360 \Omega \text{ cm}^2, I_{\text{clamp}} = 100, I_{\text{pulse}}$  $=$  55  $\mu$ A/0.6 cm<sup>2</sup>. Panels A and B: m to s clamp; panels C and D: s to m clamp. Short current pulses were applied, in addition to the clamp, inwards  $(A, C)$  or outwards  $(B, D)$ 



Fig. 6.  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  records before and during 50  $\mu$ A/0.6 cm<sup>2</sup> current clamps, in the m to s direction (left) or in the s to m direction (right). Start of the clamps indicated by lower arrows. Short, superimposed transepithelial pulses allow one to distinguish the start of the hyperpolarizing transient of  $V_{cs}$  and its higher value immediately after the pulse is off (first and second white arrows). In the first pulse during the s to m clamp,  $\Delta V_{mc} = 13$ , and  $\Delta V_{cs}$  = 19 mV

panels B and D before clamp, panel D after clamp). (5) Within the short time in which these records were taken, transepithelial resistance changes  $[(\Delta V_{mc} + \Delta V_{cs})/I_c]$  are small or absent. Therefore, the direction of the  $V_{mc}$ ,  $V_{cs}$  and  $V_{ms}$  transients *(see Fig. 2)* indicates that the *largest* emf change during the clamps takes place at the basolateral membrane. During outward current,  $V_{ms}$  and  $V_{cs}$  increase. Since  $V_{ms}$  also increases, and  $V_{ms}$  $= V_{cs} - V_{mc}$ ,  $\Delta V_{cs} > \Delta V_{mc}$ . When clamps applied in the opposite direction generate discernible transients, these are characterized by falls of  $V_{mc}$ ,  $V_{cs}$ and  $V_{ms}$ . Again,  $\Delta V_{cs} > \Delta V_{mc}$ . The difference between  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$ during s to m clamps is demonstrated in a faster record in Fig. 6. It should be noted that the time course of the cell potential changes is much faster than that of the change of transepithelial potential.

### *Mechanism of the E<sub>b</sub> Changes During Transepithelial Current Clamps*

The available information about the ionic selectivity of the basolateral membrane of gallbladder epithelial cells indicates that this membrane *behaves* as a K electrode both in *Necturus* (Reuss & Finn, 1975b; van Os & Slegers, 1975) and rabbit (Hénin & Cremaschi, 1975). If the membrane is in fact highly K-permselective, a likely explanation of the changes of  $E<sub>b</sub>$  during the clamps would be K accumulation or depletion (according to the direction of the current) in the unstirred layer in contact with the basolateral membrane. To test this hypothesis, the concentration of K in the serosal solution was changed. If our interpretation is correct, we would predict that lowering  $[K]_0$  should increase, and raising  $[K]_0$  should decrease the voltage transients. The first experiment (low  $[K]_0$ ) did not yield clear-cut results. Small reductions of  $[K]_0$  did not alter the transients significantly, and decreases to less than 1 mM produced irreversible drops of cell potential. Increases of K concentration in the serosal solution produced the dramatic effects illustrated in Fig. 7.  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$  disappear when the preparations are exposed to K-Ringer's on the serosal side, and the effect is reversible upon replacement of Na-Ringer's. The resistance changes during the clamp, although diminished, were present during exposure to serosal K-Ringer's.

# *Contribution of E<sub>s</sub> and/or E<sub>a</sub> Changes to*  $\Delta E_t$ *During Transmural Current Clamps*

Information on the contribution of  $\Delta E<sub>s</sub>$  to the voltage transients was obtained from experiments in which the magnitude of  $AE_s$  was modified



Fig. 7. Effect of high-K serosal bathing solution on  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  transients during s to m transepithelial current clamps.  $A$  and  $B$ : control;  $C$  and  $D$ : K-Ringer's on the serosal side. Note that the direction of the superimposed pulses is  $m$  to  $s$  in panels  $A$  and  $C$ , and  $s$ to m in panels B and D.  $V_{ms}$  was 3.0 mV (mucosa negative) under control conditions, and 2.7 mV (mucosa positive) after exposure to K-Ringer's.  $R_t$  values were 250 and 170  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively.  $V_{mc}$  was 61 mV in Na-Ringer's and  $2 \text{ mV}$  in K-Ringer's (cell negative). Note that in K-Ringer's the transients across both cell membranes are abolished.  $I_{\text{clamp}}$  was  $100 \mu A/0.6 \text{ cm}^2$  in Ringer's. Clamp and short pulse current densities in K-Ringer's were adjusted to yield voltage deflections similar to control

by an experimental alteration of the permselectivity of the shunt. In a control period,  $V_{ms}$ ,  $R_t$ ,  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  were measured before, during and after current clamps. Then,  $LaCl<sub>3</sub>$  was added to the mucosal bathing medium to a final concentration of 2 mm. As observed by others (Wright & Diamond, 1968; Machen, Erlij & Wooding, 1972), the transepithelial resistance rose, and  $P_{\text{Na}}/P_{\text{Cl}}$  across the shunt decreased, and usually reversed, as indicated by a reduction or reversal of a 2:1 NaC1 transepithelial dilution potential. After these effects were stable, the transepithelial and cellular measurements were repeated. As expected from the reversal of  $P_{N_3}/P_{C_1}$ , the resistance changes during exposure to lanthanum are opposite to these observed under control conditions; i.e.,  $R_t$  rises during *m* to *s* clamps and drops during *s* to *m* clamps.



Fig. 8. Effect of La addition to the mucosal solution (final concentration 2 mM) on *Vmc* and  $V_{cs}$  transients during s to m current clamps. Upper panel: control in Ringer's. Lower panel: record 1 hr after exposure to La.  $R_t$  was  $260 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$  under control conditions and  $410 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$  in La. The upper records of each panel correspond to  $V_{\text{mc}}$ , and the lower ones to  $V_{cs}$ ,  $I_{\text{clamp}}$  was 80  $\mu$ A/0.6 cm<sup>2</sup> in control, and 50  $\mu$ A/0.6 cm<sup>2</sup> in La. Note that, in spite of the smaller current,  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  transients are more prominent in La, before and during the clamp

In contrast,  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$  does not reverse:  $5.9 \pm 0.7 \,\text{mV}$  (control), and 5.2  $\pm$ 1.2 mV (La), for 100  $\mu$ A cm<sup>-2</sup> outward pulses (n=5, no significant difference).  $\Delta E_s$  would be expected to have the same polarity before and after exposure to La, because the ion will reverse both the side of current-induced NaC1 accumulation and the polarity of the resulting diffusion potential. The experiment is complicated because La increases  $R_s$ . If  $\Delta E_s$  were the sole cause of  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$  during the clamps, in the presence of La  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$  should drop, and the cell membrane transients should drop as well. The experimental observations are no change of the transepithelial transient and increases of the cellular transients (Fig. 8). These results indicate that in La the contribution of changes of  $E_b$  and/or  $E_a$  to  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$  is increased. A comparison of the polarization potentials before and after La allows us to calculate the contribution of cellular and shunt emf's to  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$  (see Discussion).

| Current<br>$(\mu A/0.6 \text{ cm}^2)$ | $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$ (mV) | P   |           |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------|
|                                       |                            | <i>m</i> to <i>s</i> clamps <i>s</i> to <i>m</i> clamps |           |
| 25                                    | $-1.0 + 0.3$               | $1.2 + 0.3$   | NS        |
| 50                                    | $-2.3 + 0.5$               | $2.9 + 0.5$   | <b>NS</b> |
| 100                                   | $-3.9 + 0.5$               | $5.5 + 0.7$   | < 0.001   |
| 200                                   | $-5.8 + 0.8$               | $10.0 + 1.2$  | < 0.001   |

Table 2.  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$  as a function of transepithelial current density and direction

Clamp duration = 30 sec.  $n=7$  experiments. Means  $\pm$  SEM. Differences between  $\vert \Delta V_{ms}^{E_t} \vert$ , dependent on the polarity of the clamp, were analyzed by t-test for paired samples.

Table 3. Effects of Na-choline substitution or sucrose addition to the mucosal solution on  $A V^E_t$ 

|                               | $\sim$ $\mu$ s          |                       |                 |                                   |                                  |  |   |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
|                               |                         | $+50$                 | $-50$           | $+100$                            | $-100$                           | $+200$   | $-200$                                      |
| $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$<br>(mV) | Control<br>Sucrose<br>n | $-3.0$<br>$-6.4$<br>2 | 2.5<br>6.2<br>2 | $-3.5 + 0.9$<br>$-9.0 + 0.8$      | $4.3 + 1.1$<br>$11.2 + 0.9$      | $-5.5+1.7$ $9.4+2.6$<br>$-12.2 + 1.3$ $20.0 + 1.6$ |   |
| $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$<br>(mV) | Control<br>Choline<br>n | $-3.0$<br>0.0<br>2    | 2.5<br>4.9<br>2 | $-4.8 + 0.9$<br>$+1.2 + 0.7$<br>4 | $6.9 + 1.7$<br>$14.1 + 3.4$<br>4 | $-6.4 + 1.5$                                       | $9.4 + 3.7$<br>$0.0 \pm 0.9$ 18.2 $\pm$ 1.2 |

Clamp duration = 30 sec. First line indicates current density ( $\mu A/0.6$  cm<sup>2</sup>) and polarity  $(+) = m$  to  $s$ ;  $- = s$  to m). Means  $\pm$  SEM.

Sucrose addition to the mucosal solution has been shown to increase  $R_s$  and decrease  $R_a$ . The cell potential drops and the luminal membrane undergoes a nonselective increase in monovalent ion permeability (Reuss & Finn, 1977). During exposure to a mucosal hyperosmotic medium, a larger fraction of the applied transepithelial current flows through the cells, and a smaller fraction through the shunt (because  $R_s$  is higher and  $(R_a + R_b)$  lower than control). On this basis, one would expect  $\Delta E_s$  to fall and  $AE_b$  to rise. Again, if  $AE_s$  were the sole cause of  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$ , the value of the latter should fall in hyperosmotic medium as compared to control. Table 2 shows that, on the contrary, transepithelial polarization is enhanced. Intracellular records show, as with La, that the cellular transients are larger in the presence of sucrose in the mucosal bathing medium than in control. Not shown here, the changes in transepithelial resistance during the clamp are also increased by exposure to sucrosecontaining Ringer's solution on the mucosal side.

The replacement of Na with choline in the mucosal solution would be expected to yield similar results to those observed in the presence of sucrose, because  $R_s$  increases proportionally more than  $(R_a+R_b)$ , and  $\Delta E_s$  is likely to diminish (inward current), since  $P_{\text{choline}} \sim P_{\text{Cl}}$  across the shunt. The results of choline substitution on  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_t}$  are shown in Table 3. Note that in fact  $\Delta V_{ms}$  increases for s to m pulses, as with sucrose. In addition, consistently,  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$  are also increased during outward current clamps. However,  $\Delta V_{ms}$ ,  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$  are essentially abolished during *m* to *s* current. This effect is probably related to the action of choline on apical membrane  $g_K$  (Reuss & Finn, 1975a).

### **Discussion**

The results described above allow us to establish that during transepithelial current clamps the resistance changes take place at the paracellular (shunt) pathway, whereas the changes of tissue equivalent emf result from transient changes of ion concentration gradients across both the shunt pathway and the cell membranes mainly the basolateral one.

This discussion will be limited to the mechanisms of the resistance and emf changes and the possible site(s) at which these alterations take place.

## *Mechanism of the Current-Produced Changes of Transepithelial Resistance*

From the equivalent electrical circuit shown in Fig. 1A, it appears obvious that a change of  $R_t$  could be caused by a change of  $R_s$ ,  $(R_a + R_b)$ , or both. From the control values of the resistances it can be shown that the maximum increase of  $R_t$  (over control) attributable to  $(R_a + R_b)$  is about  $5\%$ . On the other hand, decreases of the transcellular resistance could account for the measured drops of  $R_t$  during m to s pulses only if  $(R_a + R_b)$  diminishes by about one order of magnitude. Since the spread of current into the epithelium during current clamps was shown to be unchanged (Fig. 4), such large drops of  $(R_a + R_b)$  do not occur. In conclusion, the changes of transepithelial resistance during current clamps are caused by changes of the shunt resistance.

Our results are consistent with the interpretation of Bindslev *et al.*   $(1974b)$ ; i.e., two sites of current-dependent resistance changes within the shunt pathway: a) the lateral intercellular spaces, which increase (s to  $m$ current) or decrease (*m* to *s* current)  $R_s$  by changes of fluid conductivity, width, or both, and b) the junctional complexes, which tend to decrease

 $R_s$  regardless of the direction of current passage. The latter effect predominates at very large currents and can be irreversible.

Direct observations *in vitro* (Frömter, 1972), and transmission electronmicroscopy (Bindslev *et al.,* 1974b) substantiate the hypothesis of widening or narrowing of the lateral spaces during current passage. Our observations (phase contrast,  $320 \times$ ) did not yield clear-cut results. The mechanism of the changes of width of the interspaces would be the "transport number effect" (Barry & Hope, 1969 $a, b$ ).

Alternatively, the resistance of the lateral spaces  $(R<sub>1s</sub>)$  can change by current-induced alteration of the resistivity of the fluid they contain  $(\rho_{1s})$ . A rough calculation from our data shows that this effect can be sizable: from an estimated control  $R_{1s}$  of 100  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup> (Reuss & Finn, 1977), and a drop of mean [NaCl]<sub>ls</sub> of about 30 mm during a  $100 \mu A/0.6 \text{ cm}^2$  s to m pulse *(see below)*,  $R_{ls}$  could rise by about  $40\Omega \text{ cm}^2$  *(Chambers, Stokes &* Stokes, 1956; MacInnes, 1961). The observed  $AR_s$  is 51  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup> (Table 1). Analogous calculations at other current densities indicate that a large fraction of  $AR_s$  could be due to changes of  $\rho_{ts}$ , during both inward and outward clamps. Even though this computation requires several difficultto-test assumptions, it indicates that this mechanism cannot be neglected in this tissue. The situation appears to be different in frog gallbladder, where, at currents far larger than those applied in our experiments, only a small fraction of  $AR_s$  can be attributed to changes of  $\rho_{ls}$  (Bindslev *et al.,* 1974b).

# *Mechanisms of the Current-Produced Changes of Tissue Equivalent emf*

The experimental evidence presented above can be summarized as follows: (1) A "polarization phenomenon", or change of tissue emf  $(\Delta E_t)$ takes place during  $m$  to  $s$  and  $s$  to  $m$  currents. At low currents, it is roughly linear with the current density. (2) The polarity of  $\Delta E_t$  is such as to tend to make the mucosal medium positive during  $m$  to  $s$  clamps, and negative during s to *m* clamps. (3) The  $E_t$  changes are larger during outward clamps. (4) During the transepithelial potential transients, both cell membrane potentials change in the same direction (increase during s to m clamps; decrease during m to s clamps). (5) The cell potential changes are larger than the transepithelial potential change. (6) The changes of cell membrane potential are faster than the  $E_t$ -dependent overall change of transepithelial potential.

From these results, we conclude that the largest equivalent emf change in the tissue takes place at the basolateral membrane. This does

| $\Delta E_a$<br>(mV) | $\Delta E_h$<br>(mV) | ΔE,<br>(mV) |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 0                    | 25.6                 | 6.0         |
| $-5$                 | 29.4                 | 5.5         |
| $-10$                | 33.1                 | 5.0         |
| $-15$                | 36.9                 | 4.5         |
| $-20$                | 40.6                 | 4.1         |
| $-25$                | 44.3                 | 3.5         |
| $-30$                | 48.1                 | 3.0         |

Table 4. Computed changes of  $E<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>s</sub>$  during an outward current clamp for several assumed values of  $\Delta E_a$ 

 $AE_b$  and  $AE_s$  were calculated assuming arbitrarily the values of  $AE_a$ , substituting in the circuit equations the mean values of  $R_a$ ,  $R_b$ ,  $R_s$ ,  $E_a$  and  $E_b$  (under control conditions), and inserting the measured values of  $\Delta V_{ms}$ ,  $\Delta V_{mc}$  and  $\Delta V_{cs}$ , 30 sec after the start of an outward current clamp.  $\Delta V$ 's were corrected for the resistance change. Similar results, with lower values for the three  $\Delta$  emfs, are obtained if m to s clamps are used for the calculation.

not exclude the possibility of changes at the luminal membrane and/or the shunt, and does not imply that  $AV_{ms}^{E_t}$  depends predominantly on  $AE_b$ . In fact, from the control resistances of the three elements of the circuit it is clear that small changes of  $E_s$  can exert larger effects on  $\Delta V_{ms}$  than large changes of  $E<sub>b</sub>$ . In addition, the two time constants mentioned above suggest a fast change in cell membrane emf's, and a slower change in shunt emf. The present methods do not allow experimental determinations of  $\Delta E_a$ ,  $\Delta E_b$  and  $\Delta E_s$  during the clamps. However, estimations of the magnitude of the changes at each site can be obtained if one assumes a value for one of them (e.g.,  $\Delta E_a$ ) and computes the other two  $(\Delta E_b$  and  $AE_s$ ) from the changes of  $V_{ms}$ ,  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  during the clamp. The results of such a computation, for a typical measured change of  $V_{ms}$ ,  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$ 30 sec after the start of the clamps are shown in Table 4. A long clamp was preferred even though changes in  $R_t$  complicate the computation of resistance-independent  $\Delta V$ 's, because at very short times changes in  $(E_b)$  $-E_a$ ) can well be over, while the  $E_s$  change has not reached its half-time. Every set of emf changes in the Table could account for the observed change of transepithelial and cellular potentials, independently of the shunt resistance changes. The solutions for  $\Delta E_a > 0$  are unreasonable, because the conductance of the luminal membrane does not change during the clamps, and because its K selectivity is large. During  $s$  to  $m$ current  $E_a$  should depolarize and not hyperpolarize, since K flow from the cell to the mucosal medium will decrease the transmembrane concentration gradient. Therefore, regardless of the value of  $\Delta E_a$  (0 or

negative), *both*  $E_b$  and  $E_s$  change during the clamp. From  $E_b \sim 80 \text{ mV}$ (Reuss & Finn, 1975b),  $[K]_{cell} = 80$  mm (Frizzell, Dugas & Schultz, 1975), and  $[K]_{out} = 2.5$  mM, assuming activity coefficients equal to unity, and no change in cellular K during the clamp *(see below)*, the change in  $[K]_{out}$ required to yield the computed  $E_b$  changes can be calculated. For  $\Delta E_b$ =25.6 mV,  $\left[K\right]_{\text{out}}$  should drop to 0.91 mm, and for  $AE_b$ =48.1 mV,  $\left[K\right]_{\text{out}}$ should fall to 0.37 mm, from a control concentration of 2.5 mm. From  $(P_{\text{Na}}/P_{\text{Cl}})_{\text{shunt}} \sim 3$ , it is possible to calculate the analogous [NaCl]<sub>serosal</sub> necessary to account for the required  $\Delta E_s$  at the two extreme values of  $AE<sub>b</sub>$  shown in the Table. The results of these calculations are 67 mm (if  $AE_s = 6$  mV), and 86 mM (if  $AE_s = 3.0$  mV). The [NaCl] in the bulk serosal solution is ca. 110 mm.

An additional argument for the contribution of both  $\Delta E_s$  and  $\Delta E_b$  to the polarization phenomenon can be put forward as follows: From the resistances of the cell membranes and the shunt pathway, their ion transference numbers, and the clamp current, it is possible to calculate the relationship between  $\Delta[K]$  and  $\Delta[Na]$  in the serosal solution unstirred layer. The example that follows is a computation of the *virtual*  volume of serosal solution in which both  $[Na]$  and  $[K]$  would drop to 0.1 of their control values in 1 second, during an s to m current clamp at  $100 \mu A \text{ cm}^{-2}$ .  $i_{\text{Na}}$  is assumed to be exclusively through the shunt, while  $i_{\text{K}}$ has both cellular and shunt components. From  $g_{Na} \sim 75 \% g_t$  (shunt),  $i_{Na}$  $= 71.3 \times 10^{-5} \mu$ eq/cm<sup>2</sup>, while  $i_K = 8 \times 10^{-5} \mu$ eq/cm<sup>2</sup> (cells and shunt). Considering the Na and K concentrations in the bulk serosal solution, the current-produced fluxes are contained in  $0.64 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>3</sup> (Na) and  $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>3</sup> (K). Therefore, K would be depleted 5 times faster than Na to yield the same 10-fold change in concentration gradient across the corresponding membrane. Furthermore, a 10-fold rise in [K] gradient across the basolateral membrane will increase  $E_b$  by about 58 mV, while a 10-fold [NaCl] gradient across the shunt will change  $E_s$  by only 28 mV (calculated from  $P_{\text{Na}}$  and  $P_{\text{C1}}$  across the shunt). Therefore, for a given current,  $\Delta E_b > 10 \cdot \Delta E_s$ . This does not mean that  $\Delta E_b$  causes 90  $\frac{90}{6}$  of  $\Delta V_{ms}$ , since the effects of equal changes of  $E_b$  and  $E_s$  on  $V_{ms}$  are very different, because of the relative resistances of the cell membranes and the shunt *(see above).* Taking into account that under control conditions

$$
\Delta V_{ms} = 0.96 \,\Delta E_s + 0.04 \,\Delta (E_b - E_a) \tag{5}
$$

and the calculations summarized above, it is possible to conclude that shunt polarization accounts for two-thirds, and cell membrane polarization for one-third, of the transepithelial potential change. A comparison

with the computations shown in Table 4 indicates that the ratios of emf changes could be ca.  $AE_b$ : $AE_a$ : $AE_s$ =1:-0.5:0.1, corresponding to the following concentration changes in the serosal unstirred layer: K from 2.5 to 0.5 mm, Na from 110 to 78 mm. The lower value of  $\Delta E_a$  (when compared to  $\Delta E_b$ ) is consistent with the lower value of  $g_K/g_t$  at the luminal membrane, and the greater restriction to diffusion in the basolateral side of the tissue. Although this is difficult to prove directly, the geometry of the lateral intercellular spaces (in particular their small width) makes them the most likely site at which basolateral [K] changes take place.

Changes in cell ionic concentrations could have a role in these cell membrane emf changes, but a simple calculation shows that they are negligible. For instance, for a  $100 \mu A \text{ cm}^{-2} s$  to *m* pulse,  $J_K$  into the cells  $(g_K = g_t$ , basolateral) will be  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  µeq sec<sup>-1</sup>. If none of the current flowing out of the cell (to the mucosal solution) were carried by K, the increase in  $[K]_{cell}$  would be maximum, and equal to  $300 \times 10^{-5}/30$  $\times 10^{-4}$  µeq ml<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> (where the denominator is the volume of the cells per  $cm<sup>2</sup>$  of tissue), or, in 1 min, 1.0 mm.

In summary, these results, and the computations described above, indicate that the conductance-independent change in transepithelial potential observed during current clamps in *Necturus* gallbladder is the consequence of changes in the equivalent emf's of the three elements of the circuit, i.e., the shunt pathway and the two cell membranes. Shunt emf changes are the result of the transport number effect, and cell membrane emf changes are likely to be caused by K accumulation or depletion in the unstirred layers in contact with the cell membranes. Because of the reasons discussed above, for the same current  $|AE_b| > |AE_a|$ . An argument in favor of this is the observation that the cell membrane potential transients are abolished with high K concentrations in the serosal solution or both sides of the tissue (Fig. 7). Predictably, the transepithelial transients (bilateral K-Ringer's) *increase:* for  $100 \mu A \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ,  $\Delta V_{ms}$  control was 2.3 mV (*m* to *s*) and 2.9 mV (*s* to *m*), and after exposure to K, the analogous values were 3.8 and 4.8mV, respectively (means of two experiments). This is due to the fact that  $(P_K/P_{Cl}) > (P_{Na}/P_{Cl})$  across the shunt, and therefore,  $\Delta E_s$  is larger in K-Ringer's for the same current. Unilateral substitutions are more difficult to analyze, since  $\Delta E_s$  will be a function of the direction of current passage.

An independent evaluation of the contributions of the shunt and the cell membranes to  $\Delta V_{ms}$  during the clamp can be obtained from the lanthanum experiments. As stated before, control  $100 \mu A \text{ cm}^{-2}$  clamps (s

to *m*) produced a  $\Delta V_{\text{ms}}$  of 5.9 + 0.7 mV, while in lanthanum the result in the same tissues was  $5.2 \pm 1.2$  mV (n=5), not significantly different from control.  $P_{\text{Na}}/P_{\text{Cl}}$  across the shunt was measured before and after La, and shown to be about 3.3 and 0.6, respectively. Therefore, in the presence of La  $\Delta E_s$  will be less, because of the absolute lower selectivity of the shunt. In addition, the contribution of the same  $A(E_b-E_a)$  will be larger if  $R_s$ has increased. Assuming that the  $R_t$  change produced by La is entirely due to  $AR_s$ , the effect of  $A(E_b-E_a)$  on  $AV_{ms}$  will be 1.5 times larger in the presence of La, and the contribution of  $AE_s$  to  $AV_{ms}$  will be diminished to about half. Therefore, under control conditions  $\Delta V_{ms}$  (5.9 mV) =  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_s}$  $(3.6 \text{ mV}) + \Delta V_{ms}^{(E_b-E_a)}$  (2.3 mV), and in La  $\Delta V_{ms}$  (5.2 mV) =  $\Delta V_{ms}^{E_s}$  (1.7 mV)  $+ \Delta V_{ms}^{(E_b - E_a)}$  (3.7 mV). These results were obtained from the solution of Eq. (5) and the analogous equation in the presence of La, assuming that  $AE_s$  in La is reduced by 50%. Thus,  $V_{ms}$  transients in the presence of La can be accounted quantitatively by the changes of  $R_s$ , shunt selectivity, and transcellular current. This finding validates the use of Eq. (5) and is consistent with the view that under control conditions about two-thirds of  $\Delta V_{ms}$  are attributable to the shunt and about one-third to the cell membranes.

Similar results to those obtained with La were observed after making the mucosal medium hyperosmotic or after replacing mucosal Na with choline.

In summary, in *Necturus* gallbladder both shunt emf changes and cell membrane emf changes contribute to the conductance-independent voltage transients produced by current passage. The magnitude of each effect depends on the selectivity and total conductance of each pathway. In very leaky tissues, shunt polarization will account for most of the transients, while in tighter epithelia the contribution of cell membrane emf changes will be sizable.

We thank S. McConnell for help in the preparation of the Figures and A. Lawrence for typing the manuscript. This work was supported by Grant No. AM-17854 from the National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases.

### **References**

- Barry, P.H., Hope, A.B. 1969a. Electroosmosis in membranes: Effects of unstirred layers and transport numbers. Part I. Theory. *Biophys. J.* 9:700
- Barry, P.H., Hope, A.B. 1969b. Electroosmosis in membranes: Effects of unstirred layers and transport numbers. Part II. Experimental. Biophys. J. 9:729
- Bindslev, N., Tormey, J.McD., Pietras, J.R., Wright, E.M. 1974a. Electrically and osmotically induced changes in permeability and structure of toad urinary bladder. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 323:286
- Bindslev, N., Tormey, J.McD., Wright, E.M. 1974b. The effects of electrical and osmotic gradients on lateral intercellular spaces and membrane conductance in a low resistance epithelium. *J. Membrane Biol.* 19:357
- Brown, K.T., Flaming, D.G. 1974. Beveling of fine micropipette electrodes by a rapid precision method. *Science* 185:693
- Candia, O.A. 1970. The hyperpolarizing region of the current-voltage curve in frog skin. *Biophys. J.* 10:323
- Chambers, J.F., Stokes, J.M., Stokes, R.H. 1956. Conductances of concentrated aqueous sodium and potassium chloride solutions at 25°. *J. Phys. Chem.* 60:985
- Civan, M.M. 1970. Effects of active sodium transport on current-voltage relationship of toad bladder. *Am. J. Physiol.* 227:950
- Eisenberg, R.S., Johnson, E.A. 1970. Three-dimensional electrical field problems in physiology. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* 20:1
- Frizzell, R.A., Dugas, M.C., Schultz, S.G. 1975. Sodium chloride transport by rabbit gallbladder. Direct evidence for a coupled NaC1 influx process. *J. Gen. Physiol.*  **65: 769**
- Fr6mter, E. 1972. The route of passive ion movement through the epithelium of *Necturus*  gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 8:259
- Helman, S.I., Miller, D.A. 1973. Edge damage effect on the electrical measurements of frog skin. *Am. J. Physiol.* 225:972
- Hénin, S., Cremaschi, D. 1975. Transcellular ion route in rabbit gallbladder. Electrical properties of the epithelial cells. *Pfluegers Arch.* 355:125
- Kidder, G.W., Rehm, W.S. 1970. A model for the long time-constant transient voltage response to current in epithelial tissues. *Biophys. J.* 10:215
- Machen, T.E., Erlij, D., Wooding, E.B.P. 1972. Permeable junctional complexes: The movement of lanthanum across rabbit gallbladder and intestine. *J. Cell Biol.* 54:302
- Maclnnes, D.A. 1961. The Principles of Electrochemistry. Dover Publications, Inc., New York
- Noyes, D.H., Rehm, W.S. 1971. Unstirred-layer model for the long-time-constant transient voltage response to current in epithelial tissue. *J. Theor. Biol.* 32:25
- Os, C.H. van, Slegers, J.F.G. 1975. The electrical potential profile of gallbladder epithelium. *J. Membrane Biol. 24:341*
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1975a. Electrical properties of the cellular transepithelial pathway in *Necturus* gallbladder. I. Circuit analysis and steady-state effects of mucosal solution ionic substitutions. *J. Membrane Biol.* 25:115
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1975b. Electrical properties of the cellular transepithelial pathway in *Necturus* gallbladder. II. Ionic permeability of the apical cell membrane. J. *Membrane Biol.* 25:141
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1976. Mechanisms of voltage transients during transepithelial current clamp in *Neaurus* gallbladder. *Fed. Proc.* 35:602a
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1977. Effects of luminal hyperosmolarity on electrical pathways of *Necturus* gallbladder. *Am. J. Physiol.* 232:C 99
- Spring, K.R. 1973a. Current-induced voltage transients in *Necturus* proximal tubule. J. *Membrane Biol.* 13:299
- Spring, K.R. 1973b. A parallel path model for *Necturus* proximal tubule. *J. Membrane Biol.* 13:323
- Wedner, H.J., Diamond, J.M. 1969. Contribution of unstirred-layer effects to apparent electrokinetic phenomena in the gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 1:92
- Wright, E.M., Diamond, J.M. 1968. Effects of pH and polyvalent cations on the selective permeability of gallbladder epithelium to monovalent ions. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*  163 : 57
- Yonath, J., Civan, M.M. 1971. Determination of the driving force of the  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  pump in toad bladder by means of vasopressin. *J. Membrane Biol.* 5:366